Search Notes



Application/Control N	o. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination
10577656	SEO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
GELEK TOPGYAL	2621

SEARCHED					
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner		
386	83,95,125,126	6/4/2009	GT		

SEARCH NOTES			
Search Notes	Date	Examiner	
Used broader interpretation of "download". Found similar application in 10/989396 and 10/992088, however claims are not of same scope and therefore no DP rejection.	6/4/2009	GT	
See attached EAST search. Did inventor search through eDAN.	6/4/2009	GT	
East search, however used previously cited art of Tsumagari to reject new limitation.	12/3/2009	GT	
In interview summary mailed by the applicants on 5/7/2010 it was agreed that the limitations of the instant independent claims were not met by the cited prior art. Upon further search and consideration, the independent claims were allowed. Thai Tran made the determination the cited doesnt recite the claimed limitations. During interference search, the following related applications from the same applicant were examined for possible DP rejections:	6/12/2010	GT	
10/998,945 11/011,178 10/992,088 11/246,231 11/205093 11/205101 and 10/989396. Of which 11/001178 was determined to be a DP situation. THe applicants filed a TD 6/7/2010 to overcome possible DP rejection.	6/12/2010	GT	
See attached for inventor and interference search in EAST.	6/12/2010	GT	

	INTERF	FERENCE SEARCH	
Class	Subclas	ss Date	Examiner
386	95,125,126	6/16/2010	GT

/GELEK TOPGYAL/ Examiner.Art Unit 2621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No.: 20100514